

Students' Tolerant Behavior Formation Mechanisms

Artem E. Islamov^a, Ilya M. Rassolov^b, Svetlana A. Petunova^c, Aleksey P. Albov^{d,e,f}, Inna V. Zaikina^{g,h} and Tatiana I. Shulgaⁱ

aKazan (Volga region) Federal University, RUSSIA; bPlekhanov Russian University of Economics, RUSSIA; Chuvash State University, RUSSIA; dFinancial University under the Government of the Russian Federation, RUSSIA; The Ilya Glazunov Russian Academy of Painting, Sculpture and Architecture, RUSSIA; Russian University of Cooperation, RUSSIA; Moscow Polytechnic University, RUSSIA; hInstitute of World Civilizations, RUSSIA; Moscow State Regional University, RUSSIA

ABSTRACT

The relevance of the study is reasoned by the objective necessity in the education of students, focused on humanistic values and able to engage in dialogue with society. The purpose of the paper is to reveal the students' tolerant behavior formation mechanisms. The guiding principle of investigation is the event-related principle, providing students' inclusion in the set of events that encourage awareness of the sense of tolerance and aimed at creating of capacity for tolerant behavior. The study involved 500 teachers, 500 students, which revealed the criteria (knowledge based, motivational and activity-based) and gave the characteristic to the levels of students' tolerant behavior (optimal, efficient and acceptable). The main results of the study is to develop the mechanisms for formation of tolerant behavior (the organization of a special event-activity environment, personality-role development of tolerant behavior, creating situations of success in building and implementing strategies for sustainable cooperation based on ethnic, cultural and religious differences, the use of implicit measures; stability of intergroup tolerance). The significance of the results obtained is that the identified mechanisms provide: 1) the formation of cognitive ideas about tolerance and focus on tolerant behavior; 2) formation of the valuable attitude to the honor and dignity of the individual, the rights and freedoms of man and citizen, mutual understanding and constructive cooperation with others; 3) development of skills of situations of tolerant behavior.

KEYWORDS

Tolerant behavior, the event-related principle, education of students

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 23 August 2016 Revised 18 November 2016 Accepted 03 December 2016

CORRESPONDENCE A. E. Islamov 🔀 islamov1704@mail.ru

© 2017 A. E. Islamov et al.

Open Access terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License apply. The license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, on the condition that users give exact credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if they made any changes. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Introduction

The relevance of the study is conditioned by the objective necessity in the education of students, focused on humanistic values and able to engage in dialogue with society. These qualities make up the content of tolerant behavior (Maslovskaya, 2009). It is found that tolerance is system integrity of qualities and abilities of the individual, ensuring the formation of focus on the assimilation and implementation of social norms of mutual understanding and constructive cooperation with the other people and the formation of readiness for acceptance, sustainability and conscious actions on the basis of consensus and social partnership. In the structure of the tolerance the following components can be identified: 1) subjectivity, reflecting the totality of qualities, attitudes, values of the personality and mediating interpretation of tolerance as a personal quality, ability of the person (Skvortsov, 1997); 2) sociality, manifested in patterns of social and sustainable behavior and allowing to consider tolerance as a social norm (Zinoviev, 1998); 3) educability, providing for a process of targeting influence on a person to form an active-effective state of adoption, as of the others, and so himself, and allowing interpret tolerance as readiness, personal orientation (Zolotukhin, 1999). Tolerance - is not just a conglomeration of different quality components (subjectivity, sociality, educability), but the integrated dynamic system, which is the dialectical unity of qualities, attitudes, values, abilities, active-efficient states of the individual and social norms, to focus a general idea of freedom and humanism. Various dependencies (structural, causal, etc.) are formed between the components of tolerance that make it possible to highlight this sense-forming aspect of the definition of tolerance, as tolerant behavior (Korableva, 2013). Positioning of education as a significant public good and purposeful process of education and training, carried out in the interests of the person, the family, society and the state is one of the reasons of the education community's interest in the problems of tolerance (Pugacheva, Lunev & Stukolova, 2014). The purpose of the paper is to reveal the mechanisms of formation of tolerant behavior of students.

Research methodology

The guiding principle of investigation is the event-related principle, providing inclusion of students in the set of events that encourage awareness of tolerance's sense and aimed at creating capacity for tolerant behavior. The concept of "event" became widespread after the publication of the famous scientific work of Heidegger "Being and Time" (Haidigger, 2013). The meaning of being Heidegger determines by clarifying the meaning of the questioner, that is, human beings, defined as "being here", "here-being", "pure presence to proprietary definitions" and characterized by the initial being captured, belonging to the being (Gritsanov, 1998). At the same time the being becomes available only through the human presence, which is characterized by finite time, "existent being" (Haidigger, 2013). In the fourth chapter of the treatise, "Being and Time" "Being-in-the-world as an event and being self" Heidegger writes that being is coexistence with others, coexistence. Heidegger notes that the event is an existentialontological attitude, a way of being. Event of Heidegger is not limited in space and time, it is not an incident is not the case, not everyday event limited by space and time, and identified with the totality, closeness, rigidly specified by internal and external borders. Heidegger interprets the event as a source of life and time, "the leading word of my thinking" (Haidigger, 2013). In our opinion, the event - is multi-dimensional and can be seen as a qualitative process component, the social system's component, the condition of external interactions, fact of reality, social determinant. The essence of the event may be consisted of a dialectical relationship of these values, which is manifested in the activities, values, attitudes and assessments of a human (Krylova & Zhilina, 2010). The

person is the subject of the event, is the bearer of social norms, social relations, social connections, social statuses and roles. The man is inside the existence and his attitudes as the subject of the action drive the co-existential representation in the constantly changing world by him. In its actions the person is not only found and manifested but also created, developed and determined (Rubinstein, 1989) Therefore, event-based nature and is defined by us as the principle for formation of students' tolerant behavior, as it allows to reveal the meaning of tolerance through co-existence of the subjects of the educational process. The Russia the scientific and pedagogical bases of eventrelatedness as a component of the educational process are developed M.I. Rozhkov (2011), L.M. Abolin & K.K. Valiakhmetov (2002). In the book of O.V. Bochkareva (2008), the event is treated as a co-existence; mechanism for overcoming by the individual of the isolation of spheres of life, contributing to its self-development; a necessary stage of existence, the relationship between understanding of "I" and "Other" (society, nature, human). Thus, as the methodological basis of event-relatedness acts existential approach to understanding of human existence, his inner world, relationships with others and the world. During the research the following methods were used: theoretical (analysis, synthesis, generalization and systematization); sociological (observation, interviews, questionnaires) (Terentyeva, Pugacheva & Lunev, 2015).

Results

The main results of this study are 1) the mechanisms for formation of tolerant behavior (the organization of a special event-activity environment, personality-role development of tolerant behavior, creating of situations of success in building and implementing strategies for sustainable cooperation based on ethnic, cultural and religious differences, the use of implicit measures; stability of intergroup tolerance) and 2) their experimental verification.

Mechanisms for formation of tolerant behavior

In explanatory dictionary of the Russian language under the mechanism is understood the internal structure of something (Prokhorov, 2003). In the last decade, the concept "mechanism" in educational research is widespread. The thesis of I.V. Ryzhkova (2009) as one of the objectives of the study discovers the essence of pedagogical tools and develops pedagogical mechanisms to improve pedagogical training of future teachers of vocational training in industrial high school. By teaching mechanisms she offers to understand the selection by teachers of available forms, methods, techniques, tools of vocational training, providing a solution to specific educational problems (Ryzhkova, 2009). On this interpretation of the teaching mechanism is necessary to rely. It is found that the mechanisms for students' tolerant behavior formation include: 1) the organization of a special event-activity environment (project competitions, contests, promotions) (Zamaletdinov et al., 2016); 2) personal and role-based development of tolerant behavior in the process of education ("lecturer", "expert", "speaker", "observer", "organizer / participant of public movement", "researcher", "assistant", "adviser") (Yepaneshnikov et al., 2016); 3) the creation of situations of success in building and implementing strategies for sustainable professional interaction taking into account ethnic, cultural and religious differences (Petrova et al., 2016); 4) the use of implicit measures in the formation of students' personal-significant sense of tolerance and the capacity for sustainability and conscious actions on the basis of consensus and social partnership (ability to listen to students and to respect their opinion, respect for the student's honor and dignity, respectful treatment to the students, the friendly tone of communication, the ability of the teacher to admit if he is wrong, a sincere recognition of the students' achievements; an

expression of approval on the slightest luck of students, the ability to establish contacts; properly defend their point of view in the debate; the ability to manage their own emotional state, suggestion) (Pugacheva et al., 2016); 5) formation of a stable intergroup tolerance (possession of cooperation skills, recognition of individual differences and methods of individualization, universal human rights and fundamental freedoms, mutual respect of interests, values, attitudes, importance of personal qualities that ensure prevention and effective resolution of conflicts in the process of training and professional activities) (Lunev & Pugacheva, 2013).

It is found that these mechanisms of formation of tolerant behavior provide: 1) mutual understanding, trust and cooperation of teachers and students; 2) the establishment of tolerant relations in student groups, which determine the formation of personality traits (Merlin, 1979).; 3) tolerant interaction and communication of the person in a particular social environment, which determines the dynamics of its properties, qualities, attitudes; 4) formation of students combined qualities, attitudes and values needed for tolerant behavior through interpersonal evaluation (Andreeva, 2000).; 5) the development of the experience of tolerant behavior by mastering a set of communication situations and types of actions (Andreeva, 2000).

It is established: 1) the effectiveness of students' inclusion in the set of events that encourage the awareness of a sense of tolerance and aimed at the formation of the integrity of personality traits, attitudes, values and ability to tolerate behavior is increased if to provide their subjective significance; 2) – event-relatedness performance in the formation of tolerant behavior is increased if to provide the co-organization of values and significant relationship of all the subjects of the educational process; 3) the effectiveness of the educational and professional events is increased if to provide eventual mutual influence of subjects of educational process on the basis of values and worldviews on tolerant behavior.

Experimental verification of the mechanisms' effectiveness for formation of tolerant behavior

Experimental verification took place from 2014 to 2016 in several stages: ascertaining, forming and controlling (Lunev, Pugacheva & Terentyeva, 2015). The experiment involved 500 teachers, 500 students of the Southern Federal University (Rostov-on-Don, Russia), which revealed the criteria and gave a description to the levels of tolerant behavior of students.

The aim of ascertaining stage was to identify criteria for tolerant behavior of students: knowledge-based, motivational and the activity-based. In the formative stage a special program for the implementation of mechanisms for formation of tolerant behavior was developed. As a part of a special event-activity environment were organized: 1) professional skills' contests, a contest for the best directional wall newspaper "tolerant behavior"; 2) students' participation in actions "Give Children Hope", "Generations' connection," "Under the flag of good"; 3) flowers' laying on the grave of veterans of the Great Patriotic War (1941-1945); meeting with the imam -Khatib of the mosque- "Memory" and the governor of the Monastery of the Mother of God; sports events. Testing of personal- role development of tolerant behaviors' models by cadets was carried out by the implementation of socially-oriented youth projects, students' participation in the activities of youth associations, youth forums speeches. For example, 4 November all Russia celebrates a national holiday, National Unity Day. On this day in 1612 the People's Militia soldiers led by Kuzma Minin and Dmitry Pozharsky liberated the country from the invaders, and demonstrated the example of heroism and unity of all people, regardless of origin, religion and social

status. November 4, 2016, students held a rally "WE-united!", supporting the idea of unity in our country. To create the students' situations of success in building and implementing strategies for sustainable professional interaction the following measures were applied: gifting of "thank-you" letters to parents of students; awarding scholarships (scholarship of the President of the Russian Federation, a special state scholarship of the Russian Government, and others). To test implicit measures were specifically organized discussions with the students, discussions with students and teachers, methodical seminars for teachers. To ensure the sustainability of intergroup tolerance special training sessions, lectures and discussions were held on the self-organization of the daily routine, psycho-techniques on taking tests and examinations, individual counseling, psycho-correction sessions to reduce mental stress.

The purpose of the control phase was the preparation of the characteristics of the levels of students' tolerant behavior (optimal, efficient and acceptable) based on the identified criteria. The optimal level means that personality-important sense of tolerance is formed as the basis of social and professional interaction taking into account ethnic, cultural and religious differences, prevention and constructive resolution of conflict situations in professional activities; awareness of the value and normative imperatives of life activity; established value attitudes to the understanding, responsibility, rule of law, the life, honor and human dignity, security, legal human security, peace in the country and the world; ability to exercise social and psychological stability in difficult and extreme conditions; readiness for understanding and working with people, regardless of gender, race, nationality, language, origin, property and official status, place of residence, attitude to religion, convictions, membership of public associations. The effective level means that the person can tolerate socio-cultural differences between people; knows how to apply the methods of emotional and cognitive regulation to optimize the own activity and psychological state, however, content-semantic features of tolerance are not updated as values and social civil society norms, and valuable attitude to life, honor and dignity of every person, regardless of its ethnic, cultural and religious characteristics is not formed. Permissible level means that the person recognizes the importance of professional and sustainable behavior; valuable attitude to justice, the rule of law, peace in the country and the world are generated; possesses the skills of social and psychological stability in situations of risk; understands the responsibility for implementing the duty in accordance with the competences of law enforcement agencies; but the sense of tolerant interaction is not realized, the tolerance as social norm of civil society is not considered.

Discussions

The study of literature indicates on the presence of a theoretical framework for the research problem. Philosophers define the content of tolerance, in the first place, as a rule of entity's legal conduct, characterized by ideological and psychological openness, resilience and courage at a definite choice or adoption of any decision, orderliness and responsibility; as a moral principle governing human activity and forming a special type of philosophy; as a practical tool to resolve contradictions and conflicts effectively (Zolotukhin, 2001). Secondly, tolerance is considered a principle of culture it is noted that it should be a mechanism to achieve a humane existence (Magomedova, 2000). Psychologists say that tolerance is manifested as an increase of the sensitive attitude to the object by applying the decision-making mechanisms (understanding, empathy, assertiveness) and patience (Kleptsova, 2001). In the materials for the training of tolerance the considered category is treated as an integral characteristic of the individuals, which determines their ability in problem and crisis situations actively to interact with the environment in order to restore their nervous and mental

equilibrium, to achieve successful adaptation, avoid confrontation and the develop positive relationships with themselves and the world around them (Soldatova, Shaigerova & Sharova, 2001). In the writings of political scientists tolerance is treated as a factor of world political development, overcoming of the world's conflicts and reducing the risk of danger (Olinichenko, 2004). V.A. Vasilyev (2000) believes tolerance a moral and political category, determines it the most important democratic principle and a factor of positive relations between people in a society in which all citizens are equally responsible before the law, and justifies its consideration in the context of the opposite category - "intolerance". M. Waltser (2000) says that tolerance provides the very life of diverse communities. The Russian pedagogy defines tolerance as the patience to the views of a different kind, customs, habits, and as the body's ability to tolerate adverse effects of a particular environmental factor (Vishnyakova, 1999). It is found that the formation of the set of definitions of tolerance is carried out through the concept of sustainability and the necessity to maintain the approval of pluralism of modern society. We believe that tolerance is a general cultural competence, which is a systemic integrity of the qualities and abilities of the individual, ensuring the formation of focus on the assimilation and implementation of the social norm of mutual understanding and constructive cooperation with the other people and the formation of readiness for acceptance, sustainability and conscious actions on the basis of consensus and social partnership.

Conclusion and recommendations

Formation of tolerant behavior is a non-linear process, in which interact: 1) purposeful regulation of the attitude's formation on the models of tolerant behavior (education); 2) the development of cognitive representations of tolerance and understanding of tolerant behavior's possibilities (self-education); 3) mechanisms of formation of tolerant behavior (the organization of a special event-activity environment, personality-role development of tolerant behavior, creating situations of success in building and implementing strategies for sustainable cooperation based on ethnic, cultural and religious differences, the use of implicit measures; stability of intergroup tolerance).

It is found that the mechanisms for formation of tolerant behavior contribute to: 1) awareness of the values of tolerance by students as a social norm; 2) development of skills of tolerant behavior through the productive use of their own resources; 3) improving the qualities, positions, values of the individual contributing to the development of skills of psychological stability; 4) interiorization of experience of tolerant behavior; 5) the harmony of spiritual and moral, civic and patriotic personally significant meaning and value -regulatory imperatives of life-activity (rule of law, cooperation, civic duty).

The study results allow outlining prospects for further research of the problems that are associated with the development of methods of formation of tolerant behavior of students. Paper Submissions may be useful for managers and university professors; Staff of continuous professional education and retraining centers for the selection and structuring of the content of continuous professional education of the teaching staff in universities.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes on contributors

Artem E. Islamov - PhD, Senior Lecturer of the Department of Theory and Methods of Professional Training, Kazan (Volga region) Federal University, Kazan, Russia.

Ilya M. Rassolov - Doctor of Law, Professor, Head of the Department of State and Legal Disciplines, Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, Moscow, Russia.

Svetlana A. Petunova – PhD, Associate Professor of the Department of Social and Clinical Phycology, Chuvash State University, Cheboksary, Russia.

Aleksey P. Albov - Doctor of Law, Candidate of Philosophical Sciences, 1) Professor of the Law Faculty, Financial University under the Government of the Russian Federation, Moscow, Russia; 2) Professor of The Ilya Glazunov Russian Academy of Painting, Sculpture and Architecture, Moscow, Russia; Professor of the Russian University of Cooperation, Moscow, Russia.

Inna V. Zaikina - Candidate of Legal Sciences, 1) Associate Professor of the Department the "Legal Providing in the Field of Science and Technologies", Moscow Polytechnic University; 2) Dean of the Faculty "Modern right", Institute of World Civilizations, Moscow, Russia.

Tatiana I. Shulga – Doctor of Psychology, Professor of the Department of Social Psychology, Moscow State Regional University, Moscow, Russia.

References

Abolin, L.M. &Valiakhmetov, K.K. (2002). Spiritual and moral development of the individual within the event activities. Kazan: Publishing house "Karpol.

Andreeva, G.M. (2000). Social psychology. Moscow: Aspect Press.

Bochkareva, O.V. (2008). The didactic dialogue in professional-pedagogical training of the teacher of music. Yaroslavl: Publishing house Yaroslavl State Pedagogical University named after K.D. Ushinsky.

Gritsanov, A.A. (1998). Newest Philosophical Dictionary. Minsk: Publ. V.M. Skakun.

Haidigger, M. (2013). Being and Time. Moscow: Publishing House "Academic Project. Direct access: http://lib.ru/HEIDEGGER/bytie.txt#_Toc459301165 Reference date 20/11/2016.

Kleptsova, E.Y. (2001). Psychological conditions for formation of tolerant attitude of teachers to children: PhD thesis. Kirov: Vyatka State Pedagogical University.

Korableva, A.A. (2013). Scientific-pedagogical analysis of "tolerance" category. Yaroslavl Pedagogical Gazette, 3(2), 14-20.

Krylova, N.B. & Zhilina, M.Y. (2010). Current Events in the educational and pedagogical activity. Moscow: New Values of Education: Scientifically-methodical series. Direct access: http://www.values-edu.ru/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/co-existence.pdf. Reference date 23/11/2016.

Lunev, A.N. & Pugacheva, N.B. (2013). Social practice as the philosophical basis of pedagogical strategizing in a technical College. *Society: Philosophy, History, Culture, 4,* 11-16.

Magomedova, E.V. (2000). Tolerance as a principle of culture: PhD thesis. Rostov-on-Don: Rostov State University.

Maslovskaya, T.S. (2009). Tolerance in education. The world of education - education in the world, 4, 51-58.

Merlin, V.S. (1979). Relationships in a social group, and personality traits. Social Psychology of Personality. Moscow: Nauka.

Olinichenko, G.G. (2004). *Tolerance as a factor in world politics*: PhD thesis. Russian Academy of Public. Moscow: Service under the President of the Russian Federation.

Petrova, T.N., Kirillova, O.V., Sokolova, S.G., Pugacheva, N.B., Galimullina, A.F., Maksimova, O.G., Antonova, T.V. & Kozhanov, V.V. (2016). Education as the Management of Research Universities Students' Socialization. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6(2), 28-33.

Prokhorov, A.M. (2003). Big Russian Encyclopedia. Moscow: Great Russian Encyclopedia.

Pugacheva, N.B., Lunev, A.N. & Stukolova, L.Z. (2014), Perspective directions of researches of professional education as a public good and meaningful domain of personality. *Modern Problems of Science and Education*, 1, 91-94.

Pugacheva, N.B., Ezhov, S.G., Kozhanov, I.V., Kozhanova, M.B., Ogorodnikova, S.V., Oshaev, A.G., Timonin, A.I. & Goloshumova, G.S. (2016). The model of self-realization readiness formation of research universities students in the process of civic education. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6(1), 128-133.

Rozhkov, M.I. (2011). The concept of existential pedagogy. Yaroslavl Pedagogical Gazette, 11, 43-47.

Rubinstein, S.L. (1989). The principle of creative self-activity. Problems of Philosophy, 4, 88-95.

Ryzhkova, I.V. (2009). *Improving of pedagogical training of future teachers of vocational training in industrial university:* PhD thesis. Saratov: Saratov State Agrarian University.

Skvortsov, M.B. (1997). Tolerance: illusion or a means of salvation? October, 2, 160-189.

50

Soldatova, G.U., Shaigerova, L.A. & Sharova, O.D. (2001). To live in peace with yourself and others: tolerance training for teenagers. Moscow: Genesis.

Terentyeva, I.V., Pugacheva, N.B. & Lunev, A.N. (2015), Theoretical methods the experimental work in vocational education pedagogy. *Modern Problems of Science and Education, 1-2,* 133-138.

Vasilyev, V.A. (2000). On the issue of tolerance in modern Russia. The socio-humanitarian knowledge, 3, 249-262.

Vishnyakova, S.M. (1999). Vocational education. Key concepts, terminology, current vocabulary. Moscow: SMC SVE.

Waltser, M. (2000). About Tolerance. Moscow: Idea-Press, intellectual Book House.

Yepaneshnikov, V.V., Pugacheva, N.B., Goloshumova, G.S., Kuznetsova, V.V., Dobrovolskaya, L.V., Moiseeva, L.V., Garaganov, A.V. & Litvinenko, N.A. (2016). Pedagogical Management of Civil Education of Research Universities Students. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6(2), 23-27.

Zamaletdinov, R.R., Yudina, N.P., Lavrentyeva, E.I., Savva, L.I. & Pugacheva, N.B. (2016). Practical Recommendations on the Improvement of the Effectiveness of Anti-Corruption Policy in Universities. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6(2), 390-396.

Zinoviev, D.V. (1998). The socio-cultural tolerance - its essential characteristics. Paradigm, 1, 51-60.

Zolotukhin, V.M. (2001). Tolerance as a principle of behavior. Journal of Applied Psychology and Psychoanalysis, 3, 3-7.

Zolotukhin, V.M. (1999). Two tolerance concepts. Kemerovo: Kemerovo State Technical University.